Case 2:10-cv-04248-ODW-JEM Document 1 Filed 06/09/10 Page 1 of 15 Page ID #:1FILED Thomas P. Riley, SBN 194706 LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS P. RILEY, P.C. 7919 JUN -9 PM 12: 13 First Library Square 2 1114 Fremont Avenue CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. South Pasadena, CA 91030-3227 3 LOS ANGELES Tel: 626-799-9797 4 Fax: 626-799-9795 TPRLAW@att.net 5 **Attorneys for Plaintiff** 6 Circuito Cerrado, Inc. 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 WESTERN DIVISION 10 C. V. 10 - 4248 ODW (JEMX) 11 CIRCUITO CERRADO, INC., 12 Plaintiff. **COMPLAINT** 13 14 VS. 15 **LUIS CIRU JIMENEZ and MIRNA** SOLEDAD ESCATO, INDIVIDUALLY 16 and d/b/a GITANA'S CAFE, 17 Defendants. 18 19 PLAINTIFF ALLEGES: 20 21 JURISDICTION 22 23 Jurisdiction is founded on the existence of a question arising under particular statutes. This action is 24 brought pursuant to several federal statutes, including the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, Title 25 47 U.S.C. 605, et seq., and The Cable & Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, as 26 amended, Title 47 U.S. Section 553, et seq, and California B&P Section 17200, a California state statute. 27 /// 28

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1331, which states that the District Courts shall original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties, of the United States.
- 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties in this action as a result of the Defendants' wrongful acts hereinafter complained of which violated the Plaintiff's rights as the exclusive commercial domestic distributor of the televised fight *Program* hereinafter set forth at length. The Defendants' wrongful acts consisted of the interception, reception, publication, divulgence, display, exhibition, and tortious conversion of said property of Plaintiff within the control of the Plaintiff in the State of California constituting an unfair business practice in violation of the law, including specific California state statutes, more particularly set forth below.

VENUE

4. Pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, venue is proper in the Central District of California, because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District and/or because, *inter alia*, all Defendants' reside within the State of California (28 U.S. C. § 1391 (b) and 28 U.S.C. § 84(c)(2)).

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

5. Assignment to the Western Division of the Central District of California is proper because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in Los Angeles County and/or the United States District Court for the Central District of California has decided that suits of this nature, and each of them, are to be heard by the Courts in this particular Division.

||///

27 | ///

28 || /

THE PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff, Circuito Cerrado, Inc. is, and at all relevant times mentioned was, a Florida corporation with its principal place of business located at 12228 132nd Court, Miami, Florida 33186.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that Defendant, Luis Ciru Jimenez, is an owner, and/or operator, and/or licensee, and/or permitee, and/or person in charge, and/or an individual with dominion, control, oversight and management of the commercial establishment doing business as Gitana's Cafe operating at 1911 W. Pico Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90006.
- 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that Defendant, Mirna Soledad Escato, is an owner, and/or operator, and/or licensee, and/or permitee, and/or person in charge, and/or an individual with dominion, control, oversight and management of the commercial establishment doing business as Gitana's Cafe operating at 1911 W. Pico Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90006.

COUNT I

(Violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605)

- 9. Plaintiff Circuito Cerrado, Inc., hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-8, inclusive, as though set forth herein at length.
- 10. Pursuant to contract, Plaintiff Circuito Cerrado, Inc., was granted the exclusive nationwide commercial distribution (closed-circuit) rights to the CONCACAF World Cup Qualifier Tournament, including but not limited to the Honduras v. El Salvador World Cup Qualifier Game, telecast nationwide on Wednesday, June 10, 2009 (this included all interviews and game commentary encompassed in the television broadcast of the event, hereinafter referred to as the "Program").

26 ///

27 | | ///

- 1 2 8 3 4 6 4

- 27 ///

///

- 11. Pursuant to contract, Plaintiff Circuito Cerrado, Inc., entered into subsequent sublicensing agreements with various commercial entities throughout North America, including entities within the State of California, by which it granted these entities limited sublicensing rights, specifically the rights to publicly exhibit the *Program* within their respective commercial establishments in the hospitality industry (i.e., hotels, racetracks, casinos, bars, taverns, restaurants, social clubs, etc.).
- 12. As a commercial distributor and licensor of sporting events, including the *Program*, Plaintiff Circuito Cerrado, Inc., expended substantial monies marketing, advertising, promoting, administering, and transmitting the *Program* to its customers, the aforementioned commercial entities.
- 13. With full knowledge that the *Program* was not to be intercepted, received, published, divulged, displayed, and/or exhibited by commercial entities unauthorized to do so, each and every of the above named Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen or employees did unlawfully intercept, receive, publish, divulge, display, and/or exhibit the *Program* at the time of its transmission at their commercial establishment in Los Angeles, California located at 1911 W. Pico Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90006.
- 14. Said unauthorized interception, reception, publication, exhibition, divulgence, display, and/or exhibition by each of the Defendants was done willfully and for purposes of direct and/or indirect commercial advantage and/or private financial gain.
- 15. Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, *et seq.*, prohibits the unauthorized publication or use of communications (such as the transmission of the *Program* for which Plaintiff Circuito Cerrado, Inc., had the distribution rights thereto).
- 16. By reason of the aforesaid mentioned conduct, the aforementioned Defendants, and each of them, violated Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, et seq.

17. By reason of the Defendants' violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, *et seq.*, Plaintiff Circuito Cerrado, Inc., has the private right of action pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605.

- 18. As the result of the aforementioned Defendants' violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, and pursuant to said Section 605, Plaintiff Circuito Cerrado, Inc., is entitled to the following from each Defendant:
 - (a) Statutory damages for each willful violation in an amount to \$100,000.00 pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. 605(e)(3)(C)(ii), and also
 - (b) the recovery of full costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605(e)(3)(B)(iii).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

COUNT II

(Violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553)

19. Plaintiff's hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-18, inclusive, as though set forth herein at length.

- 20. The unauthorized interceptions, reception, publication, divulgence, display, and/or exhibition of the *Program* by the above named Defendants was prohibited by Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553, *et seq.*
- 21. By reason of the aforesaid mentioned conduct, the aforementioned Defendants, and each of them, violated Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553, et seq.

27 ///

same for their own use and benefit.

- 26. The aforesaid acts of the Defendants were willful, malicious, egregious, and intentionally designed to harm Plaintiff Circuito Cerrado, Inc., by depriving Plaintiff of the commercial license fee to which Plaintiff was rightfully entitled to receive from them, and in doing so, the Defendants subjected the Plaintiff to severe economic distress and great financial loss.
- 27. Accordingly, Plaintiff Circuito Cerrado, Inc., is entitled to both compensatory, as well as punitive and exemplary damages, from aforementioned Defendants as the result of the Defendants' egregious conduct, theft, and conversion of the *Program* and deliberate injury to the Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

COUNT IV

(Violation of California Business and Professions Code Section 17200, et seq.)

- 28. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-27, inclusive, as set forth herein at length.
- 29. By contract, Plaintiff Circuito Cerrado, Inc., was granted exclusive domestic commercial exhibition closed-circuit rights to the *Program*.
- 30. Plaintiff did not authorize transmission, interception, reception, divulgence, exhibition, or display of the *Program* to the general public, persons at large, or to the commercial establishment operated by the foregoing Defendants, or any of them.
- 31. With full knowledge that the *Program* was not to be intercepted, received, published, divulged displayed, and/or exhibited by commercial locations unlicensed (and therefore unauthorized) to do so, each and every one of the above-named Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen, or employees did

unlawfully intercept, received, publish, divulge, display, and/or exhibit the *Program* at the real time transmission of the *Program's* broadcast at their respective commercial establishments, as more particularly indicated and identified above.

- 32. Plaintiff is informed and believes and alleges thereon that the Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen, or employees performed the aforementioned acts knowingly, willfully and to confer a direct or indirect commercial advantage and/or private financial gain to the Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen, or employees, to the detriment and injury of Plaintiff and its business enterprise as a commercial distributor and closed-circuit licensor of sports and entertainment television programming.
- 33. The Defendants' unauthorized interception, publication, divulgence and/or exhibition was done by the Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen, or employees wantonly, recklessly, and without regard whatsoever for the intellectual property rights of the Plaintiff.
- 34. The aforementioned unlawful acts of each of the Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen, or employees constituted, unlawful, untrue, fraudulent, predatory, unfair, and deceptive trade practices, and by reason of the aforementioned conduct, the Defendants, and each of them, violated California and Professions Code Section 17200, et seq.
- 35. As a proximate result of the aforementioned acts attributable to the Defendants, Plaintiff has been permanently deprived of the patronage of current, previous and potential customers of the sports and entertainment programming it licenses commercially to the hospitality industry, all to its severe financial injury and loss in a sum to be determined at trial.
- 36. By reason of the Defendants' violation of California Business and Professions Code Section 17200, et seq., Plaintiff Circuito Cerrado, Inc. is entitled to restitution for its injuries, the disgorgement and turnover of the Defendants' ill-gotten gains, as well as injunctive and declaratory relief, from each of the aforementioned Defendants as may be made more appropriately determined at trial.
- 37. Plaintiff is entitled to it's attorneys' fees from the Defendants for enforcing California Business and

3

4

5 6

7

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

///

///

26 27

28 /

Professions Code Section 17200 as it meets the standards of a private attorney general as specifically and statutorily defined under California Civil Procedure Section 1021.5.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

As to the First Count:

- 1. For statutory damages in the amount of \$110,000.00 against the Defendants, and each of them, and
- 2. For reasonable attorneys' fees as mandated by statute, and
- For all costs of suit, including but not limited to filing fees, service of process fees, investigative costs, and
- 4. For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just and proper;

As to the Second Count:

- 1. For statutory damages in the amount of \$60,000.00 against the Defendants, and each of them, and;
- 2. For reasonable attorneys' fees as may be awarded in the Court's discretion pursuant to statute, and;
- 3. For all costs of suit, including but not limited to filing fees, service of process fees, investigative costs, and;
- 4. For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just and proper.

2 1. 3 4 2. 5 3. 6 4. 7 8 5. 9 6. 10

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

As to the Third Count:

- For compensatory damages in an amount according to proof against the Defendants, and each of them, and:
- For exemplary damages against the Defendants, and each of them, and;
- For punitive damages against the Defendants, and each of them, and;
- For reasonable attorneys' fees as may be awarded in the Court's discretion pursuant to statute, and;
- For all costs of suit, including but not limited to filing fees, service of process fees, investigative costs, and:
- For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just and proper.

As to the Fourth Count:

- 1. For restitution to the Plaintiff in an amount according to and from the Defendants. for their ill-gotten gains, and;
- 2. For declaratory relief, and:
- 3. For prohibitory and mandatory injunctive relief, and:
- 4. For reasonable attorneys' fees as may be awarded in the Court's discretion pursuant to statute, and;
- 5. For all costs of suit, including but not limited to filing fees, service of process fees, investigative costs, and;
- 6. For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just and proper.

7.

Respectfully submitted.

LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS P. RILEY, P.C.

By: Thomas P. Riley, Esquire Attorneys for Plaintiff Circuito Cerrado, Inc.

Page 10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Otis D.	Wright II and the assigned
discovery Magistrate Judge is John E. McDermott.	

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV10- 4248 ODW (JEMx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge
NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

	n Division [_] Spring St., Rm. G-8 geles, CA 90012	Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516		Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501
--	----------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------------------------------------------

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Case 2:10-cv-04248-ODW-JEM Documen	t 1 Filed 06/09/10 Page 12 of 15 Page ID #:12
	·
	S DISTRICT COURT ICT OF CALIFORNIA
	CASE NUMBER
Circuito Cerrado, Inc.,	CV 1-0 - 42480DW (JEMX)
PLAINTIFF(S),	
V.	SUMMONS
Luis Ciru Jimenez and Mirna Soledad Escato, individually and d/b/a Gitana's Cafe,	SOMMONS
DEFENDANT(S).	
TO: THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANT(S):	•
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and requi	red to file with this court and serve upon plaintiff's attorney
Thomas P. Riley, Esq.	whose address is:
Law Offices of Thomas P. Riley, P.C. 1114 Fremont Avenue South Pasadena, CA 91030 Tel: (626) 799-9797	
An answer to the * COMPLAINT, •	(1 st , 2 nd , etc.) AMENDED COMPLAINT,
	which is herewith served upon you within <u>21</u> days after by of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will
CI	LERK, U. S. DISTRICT COURT
JUN - 9 2010 DATE: By	<u>Natalie Donavaria</u> Deputy Clerk
	CEAL OF THE COLIDA
	(SEAL OF THE COURT)
CV 1A (01/01) CU	PANOMS

	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
Circuito Cerrado, Inc.,	CV-10-4248 ODW (JEMx)
PLAINTIFF(S),	
v. Luis Ciru Jimenez and Mirna Soledad Escato, individually and d/b/a Gitana's Cafe,	SUMMONS
DEFENDANT(S).	
TO: THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANT(S):	
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required	d to file with this court and serve upon plaintiff's attorney
Thomas P. Riley, Esq. , wh	nose address is:
Law Offices of Thomas P. Riley, P.C. 1114 Fremont Avenue South Pasadena, CA 91030 Tel: (626) 799-9797	
An answer to the ❤ COMPLAINT, •	AMENDED COMPLAINT,
 COUNTERCLAIM, CROSS-CLAIM wh 	nich is herewith served upon you within <u>21</u> days after of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will
CLE	RK, U. S. DISTRICT COURT
JUN - 9 2010 DATE:	NATALIE LONGORIA
	Deputy of the
	(SEAL OF THE COUNT)
	1198
CV-1A (01/01) SUMN	MONS

Case 2:10-cv-04248-ODW-JEM Document 1 Filed 06/09/10 Page 14 of 15 Page ID #:14CIVIL COVER SHEET I(a) PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS Luis Ciru Jimenez, et al. Circuito Cerrado, Inc. COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT LOS Angeles (b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIF (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN) (c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER) Thomas P. Riley, SBN# 194706 Law Offices of Thomas P. Riley, P.C. 1114 Fremont Avenue South Pasadena, CA 91030 Tel: (626) 799-9797 III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX ONLY) (For Diversity Cases Only) FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE FOR DEFENDANT) X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) U.S. Government DEF DEF Plaintiff Citizen of This State D 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State 2 U.S. Government □ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties Defendant Citizen of Another State □ 2 □ 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of □ 5 D 5 In Item III) Business In Another State □ 3 Citizen or Subject of a □ 3 Foreign Nation □ 6 0 Foreign Country IV. ORIGIN (PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX ONLY) X 1 Original □ 2. Removed from □ 3 Remanded from □ 4 Reinstated or □ 5 Transferred from □ 6 Multidistrict ☐ 7 Appeal to District Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened another district Litigation Judge from Magistrate (specify) V. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION **DEMAND \$** Check YES only if demanded in complaint: 150,000.00 COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: □ YES X NO VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY.) Violation of Telecommunications Statutes 47 USC 553; 47 USC 605 VII. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX ONLY) OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT TORTS FORFEITURE / PENALTY BANKRUPTCY ☐ 400 State □ 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 610 Agriculture □ 422 Appeal Reapportionment □ 120 Marine □ 310 Airplane □ 362 Personal Injury-□ 620 Other Food & Drug 28 USC 158 □ 410 Antitrust □ 130 Miller Act □ 315 Airplane Product Med Malpractice □ 625 Drug Related □ 423 Withdrawal ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability □ 365 Personal Injury-Seizure of Property 28 USC 157 ☐ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment □ 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability 21 USC 881 ☐ 460 Deportation & Enforcement of Slander ☐ 630 Liquor Laws □ 368 Asbestos Personal PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ 330 Federal Employers Judgment □ 640 R.R. & Truck Injury Product □ 820 Copyrights Corrupt Organizations ☐ 151 Medicare Act □ 830 Patent Liability Liability ☐ 650 Airline Regs □ 810 Selective Service □ 340 Marine ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted PERSONAL PROPERTY ☐ 660 Occupational □ 840 Trademark □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Student Loan □ 345 Marine Product □ 370 Other Fraud Safety/Heal;th Liability Exchange (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 371 Truth in Lending □ 690 Other SOCIAL SECURITY □ 875 Customer Challenge ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 380 Other Personal □ 861 HIA (1395ff) 12 USC 3410 Of Veteran's Benefits □ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage LABOR □ 862 Black Lung(923) Product Liability □ 891 Agricultural Act □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 385 Property Damage ☐ 710 Fair Labor □ 863 DIWC/DIWW □ 892 Economic Stabilization ☐ 190 Other Contract □ 360 Other Personal Product Liability (405(g) Standards Act Act □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Injury □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 865 RSI (405(g)) Relations ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS □ 730 Labor/Mgmt. ☐ 441 Voting □ 895 Freedom of Information □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 510 Motions to Vacate FEDERAL TAX SUITS Reporting & □ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 442 Employment Sentence Habeas Disclosure Act □ 870 Taxes (U.S. □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determi-□ 443 Housing/ Corous □ 740 Railway Labor Plaintiff or nation Under Equal □ 240 Torts to Land Accommodations □ 530 General Act Defendant) Access to Justice 245 Tort Product Liability □ 444 Welfare □ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 790 Other Labor □ 871 IRS-Third Party □ 950 Constitutionality of ☐ 290 All Other Real Property 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 540 Mandamus & Othe Litigation 26 USC 7609 State Statutes ☐ 550 Civil Rights □ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. № 890 Other Statutory 555 Prison Condition Security Act Actions VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has this action been previously filed and dismissed, remanded or closed? If yes, list case number(s):

fee: G paid G not paid

CV10-4248

Page 1 of 2

CIVIL COVER SHEET - Continued on Reverse

CV-71 (10/01)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: G Pro Hac Vice fee:

Applying IFP.

Case 2:10-cv-04248-ODW-JEM Document 1 Filed 06/09/10 Page 15 of 15 Page ID #:15

CIVIL COVER SHEET (Reverse Side)

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM JS-44C, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed that are related to the present case? X No Yes					
If yes, list case number(s):					
CIVIL CASES ARE DEEMED F	RELATED IF A PREVIOUSLY	FILED CASE AND THE PRESENT CASE:			
(CHECK ALL BOXES	☐ A. Appear to arise fr	e from the same or substantially identical transactions, happenings, or events;			
THAT APPLY)	\square B. Involve the same	or substantially the same parties or property;			
	\square C. Involve the same	patent, trademark or copyright;			
	☐ D. Call for determination	ation of the same or substantially identical questions of law, or			
	☐ E. Likely for other r	easons may entail unnecessary duplication of labor if heard by different judges.			
		other than California, in which EACH named plaintiff resides (Use an additional sheet if necessary)			
☐ CHECK HERE IF TH	E US GOVERNMENT, I	TS AGENCIES OR EMPLOYEES IS A NAMED PLAINTIFF.			
	Florida				
List the California County,	or State if other than Cal	ifornia, in which EACH named defendant resides. (Use an additional sheet if necessary).			
☐ CHECK HERE IF TH	E US GOVERNMENT,	ITS AGENCIES OR EMPLOYEES IS A NAMED DEFENDANT.			
	Los Angeles				
List the California County, NOTE: In land condemnat	or State if other than Ca tion cases, use the location	lifornia, in which EACH claim arose. (Use an additional sheet if necessary) n of the tract of land involved.			
	Los Angeles				
X. SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY (OR PRO PE	REX Date 7/10			
NOTICE TO COUNSEL supplement the filing and s States in September 1974, is	JPARTIES: The CV- ervice of pleadings or oth s required pursuant to Loc	-71 (J) -4) Civil Coor Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor er papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United al Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, venue led instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)			
Key to Statistical codes rela	ating to Social Security C	ases:			
NATURE OF SUIT CODE	<u>ABBREVIATION</u>	SUBSTANTIVE STATEMENT OF CAUSE OF ACTION			
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))			
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)			
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))			
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))			
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.			
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))			

CIVIL COVER SHEET

Page 2 of 2

CV-71 (10/01)